Experimental Study on Voided Slab Subjected to Flexural Load

Alicia Yik Yee Min and Ling Jen Hua
School of Engineering and Technology, University College of Technology Sarawak, 96000 Sibu, Sarawak, Malaysia

Abstract: Concrete weight constitutes a large portion of load in a reinforced concrete structure. To reduce the load, void formers such as polystyrene can be embedded in the slabs. This study investigates the behaviour of one-way slabs embedded with polystyrene of different shapes (sphere, cylinder and rectangular). A solid slab and three voided slabs were tested under an incremental flexural load using the four point load test setup. The load capacity, crack pattern and ductility of the specimens were to determine the effects of the shapes of polystyrene in slabs. The spherical and cylindrical shape offered a better structural performance than rectangular. For the smooth edges that allows better distribution of the stress, the specimens gave a higher load capacity and ductility than rectangular shapes. Voided slabs with 10.6% concrete replacement by spherical and cylindrical polystyrene offered a comparable load capacity but lower ductility than the solid slab.
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INTRODUCTION

Reinforced concrete (RC) slab is a building element constructed as the floor and ceiling. It distributes the loads acting on a building in one or two directions to the adjacent beams or directly to the columns [1]. As concrete weight constitutes a large portion of permanent load in a RC structure, it would be economical if the weight of the slab could be reduced. For that, studies were carried out to reduce the weight of concrete slab [2].

There are several voided floor systems, namely Bubbledeck system, Cobiax system, U-boot Beton and Airdeck floor slab. Bubbledeck and Cobiax systems use plastic spheres to replace the concrete in slabs [3, 4]. U-boot Beton uses grip-shaped beams to replace concrete [4]. Airdeck embeds polypropylene materials in slabs [3].

Due to proprietorship, there are limited publications regarding the voided slab systems. Alternatively, polystyrene, in various shapes, can be embedded in the slabs as it can be made into various shapes [5].

This paper studies the behaviour of voided one-way slab incorporating polystyrene of different shapes under incremental flexural load. The aim is to determine a shape which offers the best performance of slab.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Specimen

Four specimens in a size of 1600 mm (L) X 750 mm (W) x 200 mm (H) were prepared. This included a solid slab as the control specimen and three voided slab specimens. The polystyrene embedded in the voided slabs were in the shapes of sphere, cylinder and rectangular.

Steel bars with a specified yield strength of 460 N/mm² were provided as the reinforcement bars at the bottom of the slabs. The main and secondary reinforcement bars were T10-150 mm and T10-200 mm, respectively (Figures 1). The concrete cover designed was 20 mm.

The polystyrene with a height of 120 mm were placed in the slab at a spacing of 45 mm throughout. It replaced 10.6% of concrete in the slab. The details of the polystyrene are given in Figure 2 and Table 1.

The polystyrene was tied on the steel reinforcement using galvanized wire. Formworks were fabricated using a series of plywood and hardwood. Ready-mixed concrete of grade 25 and slump 100 mm to 175 mm were used for casting. The specimens were cured for 28 days by spraying water on the surface once a day.
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Figure 1: Front View of the Specimen

Table 1: Details of the specimens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Slab</th>
<th>Geometrical Properties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shape</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS1</td>
<td>Sphere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS2</td>
<td>Cylinder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS3</td>
<td>Rect</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: D = Diameter, W = Width, L = Length

Experimental Setup

Four-point load test was carried out on the specimen using a 300 kN hydraulic jacking system (Figure 3). The specimens were supported by a set of pin and roller support with an effective span length of 1500 mm. Two steel plates were placed on the slab with a distance of 1/3 of the effective span length. A steel I-beam was placed on top of two steel plates to distribute the load acting onto the specimen.

A load cell was placed in between the hydraulic jack and the I-beam to measure the load applied onto the specimen.

Five Linear Variable Differential Transducers (LVDT) were placed under the specimen to measure the deflection (Figure 4).

Test Procedure

A preload of 5 kN was applied twice to consolidate the test setup and to cross-check if the instrumentations were in good conditions. The preload was released and the readings were re-initialized to zero after 5 minutes. The specimen was then incrementally loaded at an interval of 0.1 mm deflection. During testing, the crack patterns on the specimen surface were monitored. The specimen was considered fail when a noticeable drop was observed on three consecutive readings.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Material Properties

Concrete cubes (150 mm size) were tested on day 28 to determine the concrete strength of the specimens. The strength ranged between 25 N/mm² and 29 N/mm² (Table 2) which is higher than the desired strength of 25 N/mm².

Three steel bar samples were tested to determine the tensile strength of the bars. The average tensile strength was 562 N/mm² which is higher than the specified yield strength of 460 N/mm² (Table 3).

The quality of the materials used in the study is considered acceptable.
Table 2: Concrete Strength

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>Sample, mm</th>
<th>$f_{cu}$ (N/mm$^2$)</th>
<th>$f_{u,avg}$ (N/mm$^2$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CS</td>
<td>150 Cube</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>25.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS1</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>27.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS2</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>29.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS3</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>29.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Tensile Strength

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diameter, mm</th>
<th>$f_{yk}$ (N/mm$^2$)</th>
<th>$f_{yk,avg}$ (N/mm$^2$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T10</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>587</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Load-displacement Relationship

Figure 5 compares the load-displacement responses of the solid and voided slabs. Table 4 presents the test results in terms of the first crack load, yield load and ultimate load of the specimens.

In general, the specimens offered a high degree of stiffness at the early stage of loading, as represented by the gradient of the load-displacement curve. The deflection developed proportionally to the load applied. The first crack, which occurred around 54 kN load, affected the stiffness of the specimens slightly, where the deflection developed at a slightly faster rate.

The specimens yielded around 150 kN. The stiffness decreased drastically and large deflections developed with respect to small increments of load.

The specimens peaked around 180 kN load. It had reached the ultimate state and was considered failed.

In terms of the structural performance, voided slabs were inferior to the solid slab. The stiffness, first crack load, yield load and ultimate load were generally lower than the solid slab. The removal of concrete in the slab affected the distribution of stress in it, and subsequently, the performance of slab.

Among the voided slabs, specimen VS2 (cylinder) gave the highest ultimate and yield loads of 183.83 kN and 162.10 kN respectively. This was followed by specimen VS1 (sphere) offering 175.85 kN load capacity.

Specimen VS3 (rectangular) offered the lowest first crack load, yield load and ultimate load. It failed abruptly after reaching the ultimate state. High stress concentrated at the sharp corners of the rectangular shape, and this led to early failure of the specimen.

Table 4: First Crack Load, Yield Load, Ultimate Load

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Slab</th>
<th>$P_{cr}$, kN</th>
<th>$P_y$, kN</th>
<th>$P_u$, kN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CS</td>
<td>62.97</td>
<td>163.94</td>
<td>184.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS1</td>
<td>54.12</td>
<td>145.18</td>
<td>175.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS2</td>
<td>54.05</td>
<td>162.10</td>
<td>183.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS3</td>
<td>44.28</td>
<td>150.89</td>
<td>159.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: $P_{cr}$ = First Crack; $P_y$ = Yield Load; $P_u$ = Ultimate Load

Crack Pattern

Figure 6 presents the crack patterns of the specimens. Specimens CS, VS1 and VS2 demonstrated the flexural failure. Several flexural cracks were noticed along the mid span.

The flexural crack first initiated at the mid span from the soffit. It widened and propagated vertically upward as the load increased. Then, a new flexural crack developed at an offset distance about equivalent to the depth of the slab closer towards to support. As the load increased further, more flexural cracks until the ultimate state of the slab was reach.

In addition to the flexural cracks, specimen VS3 showed an inclined shear crack. It developed after several flexural cracks. It propagated from a support toward the nearest point load induced by the hydraulic jack. Reaching the ultimate state, the shear crack developed rapidly and affected the ductility of the specimen.
Effects of Geometrical Properties

Table 5 evaluates the performance of the specimens in terms of the ductility and load ratios. The specimen with higher values of ductility and load ratios gives a better structural performance.

Table 5: Performance of slabs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Slab</th>
<th>$\delta_y$, mm</th>
<th>$\delta_u$, mm</th>
<th>Ductility ratio, $\delta_u/\delta_y$</th>
<th>Load ratio, $P_u/P_{uc}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CS</td>
<td>6.60</td>
<td>42.16</td>
<td>6.39</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS1</td>
<td>7.30</td>
<td>36.11</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS2</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>24.47</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS3</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>14.44</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: $\delta_y$ = Yield Displacement; $\delta_u$ = Ultimate Displacement; $P_{uc}$ = Ultimate load of the test specimen; $P_{uc}$ = Ultimate load of the control specimen

In general, voided slabs offered a lower strength and ductility than the solid slab. Replacing 10.6% concrete in slab with void formers affected less than 3% of the capacity of the slab. In terms of the strength per unit volume of concrete, the voided slabs were more efficient.

The shape of the void former in slab affected the performance of slab. Sphere and cylinder offered a higher strength and ductility than rectangular.

The sharp corners of the rectangular polystyrene led to concentration of high stress in the slab, and subsequently led to earlier failure of the slab. For the reason, polystyrene in curvilinear shape and with smooth edges is preferred. It (a) allows stress to be more evenly distributed surrounding the void formers, (b) deters propagation of the shear crack, and (c) prevent the slab to fail prematurely in brittle manner.

CONCLUSION

The structural behaviour of the one way voided slab was investigated based on the load capacity, crack pattern and ductility.

It is concluded that:

1. The voided slabs with polystyrene in spherical and cylindrical shapes were more efficient in terms of the strength per unit concrete volume. Replacement of 10.6% concrete in the slab led to less than 3% reduction in strength. Thus, it is possible to develop voided slab by using polystyrene.
2. The voided slabs demonstrated a similar load-displacement response and crack pattern as the solid slab. The stiffness, strength and ductility were generally lower.
3. For the smooth edges that evenly distribute stress in the slab, voided slab having spherical and cylindrical polystyrene outperformed the rectangular shape in terms of the strength and ductility. Thus, the spherical and cylindrical shapes are preferred.
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