INTRODUCTION

Agricultural biomass is the most abundant bio-resources and most renewable. Oil palm stems and fronds produced in abundant has become a significant environmental issue to the plantation owners [1],[2]. These agriculture wastes were the most abundant usually left to rot in the fields. After a specific period, these materials naturally turned into natural fertilizer. The piles of these materials can attract rats, snakes, and other small size animals to makes nests, and creates safety problems to workers collecting oil palm fruits. Turning these bio-materials resource into useful products could solve this problem. Only 10% of the oil palm biomass is used as an alternative raw material for various application [3],[4].

Currently, research has focussed on the utilization of the oil palm stems as an alternative material to wood. This bio-resource material has the potential to be used as veneers in the plywood, boards, panels, and particle boards manufacturing. On the other hand, the oil palm fronds which are available the whole year round left untouched after they fell from the trees. The increase in wood prices and shortage in supply of accessible wood species timber has affected the wood-based industries [5]. This cause the increase in the manufacturing costs and the concerned in climate change due to rapid depletion in the natural forest. The forest could no longer supply the woods in a vast quantity. Research and development activities are intensively taking place searching on bio-composites non-wood resources [1]. Agriculture sector with an abundant supply of waste residues has been looked as the alternative source for raw material [6]. Non-wood lignocelluloses composites are becoming attractive in both commercial and non-commercial applications.

Organic natural fibres increasingly investigated for various usages in many structural and non-structural
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applications. Malaysia produced a vast quantity of agricultural waste such as coir fibre, rice husk and oil palm fibre [7]. These materials are renewable, non-abrasive, cheaper, abundance and show less health and safety concern during handling and processing. The uses of agriculture residues combined with other lignocellulosic material, metals, plastics, glass and synthetic fibres could reduce the dependent of bioresources from the natural forests [8].

Oil palm fronds can be a solution as they are obtainable all the year-round. These bioresources appear to be the most viable alternative utilized as the value-added product alternative to timber [9]. A vast quantity of oil palm biomass which includes the tree trunk, fronds, empty fruit bunch, shell, and fibre available especially during replanting season. Efforts were currently undertaken to utilize empty fruit bunches contemplated mainly on the production of pulp for papermaking [10],[11], medium density fibreboard [12],[13], oil palm fibre mattress and agricultural mats, high-quality organic fertilizer, charcoal briquette and roof tiles [10]. The production of medium density fibreboard [14], cement-bonded particleboard [15], fibre reinforced cement board [16],[17], fibre plastic composite [18] and plywood [19],[20] from oil palm trunk beside laminated veneer panels [21]. Research focusing on the potential uses of oil palm fronds as a future alternative to woods are lacking. This study investigated possible benefits of oil palm fronds as quality materials in the wood-based industry based on their physical and strength properties.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Preparation bio composite PFOP**

The fronds of the oil palm trees used in this study were obtained from a private plantation in Sibu, Sarawak. Only fronds from decay-free trees and with no obvious defect were selected. The selected fronds were divided into three (3) condition groups of dried, felled, and green fronds. They were further sub-divided into three portions, namely the bottom, middle and top. The leaflets removed from the selected fronds.

Nonetheless, discs about 10 cm in the middle were cut from every portion for the physical properties study for the fresh oil palm frond and the rest were peeled of their skin and sliced in the longitudinal direction. The fronds were then transported to University College Technology Sarawak (UCTS) for subsequent studies. The fronds were then cut longitudinally of thickness 2-4 mm and later compressed using rollers compressed machine to increase their density before undergoing air-drying.

**Drying**

The compressed PFOP were air-dried for 12 hours to reduce moisture in them. The air-drying process is necessary to prevent fungi and insects’ attacks. Once the equilibrium moisture content attained (14% in Malaysia) the drying process is stopped.

**Adhesive**

Two (2) types of adhesive commonly used by the wood composite industry were used in this study to produce the bio-composite PFOP. They were phenol-formaldehyde (PF) and urea-formaldehyde (UF) adhesive.

**Composite bio-panels making**

After undergoing the air-drying process, the compressed oil palm fronds were bonded together with 12-15% of adhesives. 1% of hardener (NH\textsubscript{4}Cl) added. The fronds manually compressed using a moulding box 350 x 350 mm in size. They later transferred to a single-opening hydraulic hot-pressed machine with a platen temperature of 125±5°C for phenol-formaldehyde adhesive and 100±5°C for urea-formaldehyde adhesive. The oil palm fronds bio-composite panels turned into a panel of 20 mm in thickness. Panels produced from fronds at a different portion from three types of condition groups using two different types of adhesive PF and UF, respectively.

A three-step-down method of pressing of a 40 sec/mm for phenol-formaldehyde adhesive, and a 30 sec/mm for urea-formaldehyde adhesive. The distances bars 20 mm in thickness were inserted between the hot platen during hot pressing. All this bio-composite panels were cut into a various size of the test specimens. They were conditioned to attain moisture content of about 12±1%, at temperature and relative humidity of 20±3°C and 65±3% respectively for 72 hours.

**Physical studies of bio composite PFOP**

The physical studies tests and evaluation were conducted by the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) standards.

**Density**

The density determined by measuring the weight and volume of the testing samples at 12% moisture content [22]. Each sample tested to an accuracy of 0.01 g by using an analytical balance. The volumes determined by using a water displacement method. The standard ISO 13061-2017 applied here. The initial weight was taken and then oven-dried at 103±2°C until their moisture content reaches to 12% moisture content.
Basic Density

The basic density determined according to ISO 13061-2017. The weight at oven-dry and volume at the green stage of each sample recorded—the value of test samples measured to an accuracy of 0.01 g by using an analytical balance. The water displacement method was used to measure the volumes. The initial weights were taken before the samples underwent oven-drying at 103±2ºC to attain constant weights [23]. To prevent the water absorption, the specimens were dipped slightly into the melting wax.

Strength Studies of Composite Bio-Panels


Static Bending Strength

The static bending tests following the ISO 13061-4:2014 [24] for MOE and ISO 13061-3:2014 [25] for MOR using the Universal Testing Machine. The specimen supported on a span of 280 mm and the force using a loading head applied at mid-span. The tests were stopped once the samples reach their breaking points. The MOE, MOR and the proportional limit with ultimate load and deflection were recorded and calculated automatically by the computer connected to the testing machine.

Compression Strength

The compression strength test and evaluation followed the ISO 13061-17:2017 [27] for MOR assessments using a Universal Testing Machine. This test conducted with a constant rate of loading or movement of the loading head of the machine.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The Physical Studies

The physical studies investigated in this study included the density and basic density of the bio-panels. These studies are necessary to understand the panels behaviours and performances. These two properties influenced directly on the strength of the panels.

Density

Density is an excellent indicator of the amount of substance contained in a piece of wood [28]. The density of the bio composite PFOP will depend on the condition groups, portions and types of the adhesive that have been used for bonding this bio-composite lumbers. The density value that had been evaluated on the bio composite PFOP was determined at moisture content equal to 12%.

Figure 1 shows the results of value for density these bio composite PFOP for each condition group, portion, and adhesive type. The panels with the highest density came from the bottom portion for each condition group, followed by the middle and then top portions, respectively. The dried condition group possessed the highest density values for every portion compared to others follow by the felled and green condition groups. The density values decrease from the bottom to top portions for each condition group, meanwhile, the dried condition group possessed the highest density values for every portion compared to others follow by the felled and green condition groups [1].

The density is affected by the anatomical structure in the oil palm fronds influences by the present of the vascular bundles and parenchymatous tissues at various quantity. The ANOVA in Table 1 support this statement. The significant difference existed between density with condition groups and portions. However, no significant difference observed for the adhesives that had been used to produce the bio composite PFOP. These showed that the types of adhesive were not influenced to the density value of the bio-composite lumbers. The density of the bio composite PFOP higher compared to the oil palm fronds density by the effect of adhesive penetration that have been used in producing this bio-composite lumbers. The presence of both adhesives at certain quantity increases the density of the oil palm fronds bio-composite panels. They cause the increase in a material substance per unit volume in these bio-composite PFOP.

![Figure 1: Density (g/cm³) of the bio composite PFOP using PF and UF](image-url)
evaluation where the difference between calculating density value and basic density value based on weight at moisture content equal to 12% for density value, and the oven-dry weight for basic density according to Organization for Standardization (ISO) standard (ISO 13601-17:2017) [27]. The basic density for the bio composite PFOP for each condition group, portion and adhesive type were shown in Figure 2. The basic density decreases from the bottom to top portions for each condition group. However, the dried condition groups possessed the highest basic density values for every portion compared to others follow by the felled and green condition groups. Their trend was like the density value, merely different in number value because of its way calculation has been done.

Figure 2 summarized the deceases of bio composite PFOP basic density from the bottom to top portions for each condition group and from the dried to green condition groups for each portion were same situation with the basic density value of the oil palm fronds. The high amount of fibrous vascular bundles, especially at the bottom portion of the oil palm fronds gives influences it them having higher in basic density value compared to other portions [29]. The basic density in wood were differently according to their cell size, cell wall thickness and relative amount of solid cell wall material [30]. Rowell stated that the present in higher amount of mature and thickly cells at the bottom part of wood resulted in higher basic density values compared to than others part. This agrees with basic density values that had been recorded in this study where the bio composite PFOP from the bottom portion got higher in basic density value than other portions. This agrees with an earlier study by Haygreen and Bowyer [31].

![Figure 2: Basic density (g/cm³) of the bio composite PFOP using PF and UF](image)

The ANOVA Table 1 showed significant difference exist between the basic density with condition and portions groups. No significant difference, however noted for the adhesive types used in the studies. This showed the types of adhesive used does not influenced to the basic density value of the bio composite PFOP. However, the basic density of oil palm fronds bio-composite PFOP higher compared to the oil palm fronds basic density by the effect of adhesive that have been used in producing this bio-composite PFOP. The increasing of bio-composite PFOP basic density probably related to adhesive penetration into the bio composite PFOP. The parenchyma behaves like a sponge and can easily absorb moisture [32],[33]. Thus, the bio-composite PFOP could easily absorb phenol and urea formaldehyde adhesive during the manufacturing process and leads to the increase in the basic density of the bio composite PFOP. It was assumed that the adhesive penetrations possessed higher density as well as basic density and enhanced the strength of the bio composite PFOP.

**Strength Studies**
The strength of wood is measured by its resistance to the exterior forces that tend to deform it [34]. This resistance, however, is dependent on their magnitude and the manner of loading forces applied (bending, compression, shear, tension, etc.). Due to the strength characteristics, wood exhibits different strength properties in different growth directions; therefore, it is mechanically anisotropic [32],[35]. The strength properties are the most important characteristics of wood product to be used in structural applications [36]. In any structural application, the wood which possesses higher strength is preferably selected. The floor joint and rafters, wall sheathing, and sub-flooring uses these structural wood products [34].

Testing on the strength properties conducted including static bending strength (MOE and MOR) and compression strength (MOR) [23]. The testing was carried out based on the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) standard for the strength properties evaluation. The analysis of the strength properties of the bio-composite PFOP particularly investigated the effect of condition groups, portions, and types of adhesive. The adhesives that have been used in producing the bio-composite PFOP were phenol and urea-formaldehyde.

**Static Bending Strength**
In the static bending strength tests bending stress is applied to the bio-composite PFOP to determine their stiffness or MOE, as well as the amount of forces required to cause it to fail. The bending strength of wood is expressed in MOR [34]. These are the most important parameters which usually are used for engineering purposes.

In the static bending of the oil palm fronds bio-composite PFOP, testing was conducted and data obtained analyzed to examine the effect of condition
groups (dried, felled and green), portions (bottom, middle and top) and adhesives (phenol and urea-formaldehyde) to obtained the MOE and MOR. The summarized result of static bending test is presented in Tables 3 and 4. The bottom portion possessed the highest value for both MOE and MOR strength in static bending for every condition group. The dried condition group contained the highest value for each portion compared to the felled and green condition groups.

The values of the oil palm fronds bio-composite PFOP (both from phenol and urea-formaldehyde adhesive) for MOE and MOR in static bending decreases from the bottom to top portions for every condition group and from the dried to green condition groups, respectively. Figure 3 shows the MOE strength in the dried condition group from the bottom, middle and top portions for phenol-formaldehyde bio composite PFOP at 999.61, 952.29 and 844.18 N/mm². The MOE for urea-formaldehyde bio-composite panels were at 980.31, 949.40 and 840.40 N/mm² from the bottom, middle and top portions for dried condition group, respectively. The MOE strength decreases from the bottom to top portion for dried condition group both of phenol or urea-formaldehyde bio composite PFOP. The same observations were noted for the other two condition groups, which were the felled and green condition.

The strength for the MOE at the bottom portion from the dried, felled, and green groups condition of phenol-formaldehyde bio-composite panels were at 999.61, 979.15 and 935.36 N/mm², respectively. The value of strength MOE at the bottom portion of urea-formaldehyde bio composite PFOP from the dried, felled, and green condition were at 980.31, 953.93 and 936.24 N/mm². The MOE decreases from the dried to green groups condition for the bottom portion either for phenol or urea-formaldehyde bio composite PFOP at the middle and top portions too according to from the dried, felled, and green group condition.

Figure 4 summarized the test result of the bio-composite PFOP at the different group condition, portions, and adhesive types. The MOR of the oil palm fronds bio-composite panels was gradually decreasing from the bottom to top portions for each condition group and from dried to green condition groups for every portion. This include for both two types of the adhesive that have been used in producing the bio composite PFOP which were phenol and urea-formaldehyde adhesive. The MOR strength for the dried condition group from the bottom portions at 16.66, middle at 12.55 and top at 11.72 N/mm² respectively for phenol-formaldehyde adhesive oil palm frond bio-composite PFOP. The MOR for urea-formaldehyde bio composite PFOP were at 15.40, 12.38 and 11.63 N/mm², respectively. This similar trend also observed to the felled and green groups condition from the bottom towards top portions.

To investigate the effect of groups condition of the oil palm fronds in producing this bio-composite PFOP in the MOR static bending strength, an analysis was carried out examining the distribution of MOR shown in Figure 4. The results showed that for the bottom portion for each group condition (dried, felled, and green) from phenol-formaldehyde bio composite PFOP, the MOR was 16.66, 14.38 and 12.16 N/mm² respectively. The MOR for urea-formaldehyde bio composite panels were 15.40, 12.62 and 12.25 N/mm². The strength respectively decreased from the dried towards groups condition for bottom portion either both of adhesive types that have been used in this bio composite PFOP. The MOR decreases too in the other two portions (middle and top portions). These similar observations to the MOE value influence by the bottom to the top portions where the MOR values decrease for each condition group as well as from old towards green groups condition.

Both the MOE and MOR values for the bio-composite PFOP decreases from the bottom, middle, and top portions, and towards the groups’ condition.
from dried, felled, and green condition groups. These also occurred in both bio-composite panels made from phenol and urea-formaldehyde adhesive. The variations in the MOE and MOR values along the tree height can be explained by the decrease in condition of wood and fibre length from bottom to the top of the tree [37]. This is due to the reductions of vascular bundles from the bottom to top portions as well as from the dried to green groups condition. The presence of vascular bundle influences the quantity of fibre cell present. This directly increases the density and basic density of the panels. High values in density and basic density gives rise to the strength properties of wood [31],[38]. This explains why the bottom portions possess higher value for both MOE and MOR strengths in comparison to the middle and top portions for each group condition as well as dried group condition than felled and green groups condition.

The strength properties of the panels have a significant correlation with density and basic density [39]. The MOE and MOR strength of the bio-composite PFOP from the bottom portion possess higher values than middle and top portions for each group condition as well as towards dried, felled and green groups condition for every portion. The ANOVA in Table 1 shows the significant difference between MOE and MOR of static bending with condition groups and portions.

The result showed that the bio-composite PFOP phenol-formaldehyde adhesive possesses high value for both the MOE and MOR than urea-formaldehyde adhesive. This is due to the urea-formaldehyde adhesive has a high amount of solid content compared to the phenol-formaldehyde adhesive. The distribution of phenol-formaldehyde adhesive located irregularly in the structures of the bio-composite PFOP [28]. When the stress applied, the stress could not be transferred consistently between the fibre and the surrounding tissues. The penetration of high viscosity of urea-formaldehyde adhesive probably breaks the cell wall of the composite bio-panels [28]. This action would make the fibre and the surrounding tissues unable to withstand greater loads. However, no significant difference observed in the results of MOE and MOR in static bending according to the ANOVA in Table 1 in adhesive type. Proved, the types of adhesive were not too much influenced by the density value of the bio-composite PFOP.

**Compression Strength**

In this subtopic, the study was conducted to investigate the compression strength of oil palm fronds bio-composite PFOP. The experiment runs in using the Universal Testing Machine. Compression strength defined as the maximum stress sustained by the compression of a specimen [40]. The compression strength of composite panels was strongly dependent on the effectiveness of the matrix in supporting the fibre against buckling [41]. It was noted that the characteristic of the compression load-deformation curve was like those for static bending strength [42],[43].

Testing on this strength property was conducted by the ISO 13061-17:2017 [26]. The obtained data was examined using statistical analysis to define the effect of three parameters which are on condition groups (Dried, felled, and green), portions (bottom, middle and top), and also types of adhesive (phenol and urea-formaldehyde) to the compression strength of the oil palm fronds bio-composite PFOP.

Table 5 showed the compression strength of dried group condition from bottom to top portions were at 473.17, 395.93 and 260.22 N/mm² for phenol-formaldehyde bio composite PFOP, while for the urea-formaldehyde composite bio-panels OPF, the result was at 459.52, 344.60 and 260.00 N/mm² respectively. The compression strength decreases from the bottom towards to middle and top portions for dried group condition. Similar decrement distribution observed to the felled and green groups condition towards from bottom, middle and top portions.

To investigate the effect of condition groups to compression strength of oil bio composite PFOP, the data in Table 5 showed that the trend for each portion towards dried, felled and green condition groups were similar to portion factor from bottom to top portions. The result of bottom portion according from dried, felled, and green condition groups were 473.17, 453.67 and 301.49 N/mm² for phenol-formaldehyde bio composite PFOP at 459.52, 431.88 and 312.94 N/mm² respectively for urea-formaldehyde bio composite PFOP. It is clearly showing the decrement towards dried, felled, and green groups condition for the bottom portion and this occurred to the middle and top portions. The decrement trend of MOR in compression show similar in a trend of the MOE and MOR in the static bending. The vascular bundles’ quantity and distributions along the oil palm fronds could be attributed to these properties. The differences in the density and basic density values influenced the distribution result of compression strength for the groups’ condition and portions. The bottom portions have higher values in compression than the middle, and top portions for each group condition for the dried group condition follow by felled and green condition for every portion. The results are shown in the ANOVA in Table 1, where a significant difference observed between compression strength with condition groups and portions. The decreases in density and strength properties along the length of the fronds from the bottom to the top portions from old to green condition groups [44]. Some strength properties of the panels...
showed failure in the compression, especially low-density panels [45],[46].

![Figure 5: The MOR (N/mm²) in the bio composite PFOP using PF and UF](image)

The result showed that the values for each phenol-formaldehyde bio-composite panels possessed higher impact in compression than the urea-formaldehyde bio composite PFOP. The higher compression strength of oil palm fronds bio-composite PFOP with phenol-formaldehyde adhesive as compared to urea-formaldehyde bio composite PFOP could be due to the presence of phenol-formaldehyde adhesive. Properly cured panels are often tougher than the wood itself [42],[47]. The effectiveness of the phenol and urea-formaldehyde adhesive in enhancing the compression properties showed a similar trend as in the static bending strength. The phenol-formaldehyde bio composite PFOP have a higher value in compression strength compared to urea-formaldehyde composite bio-panels. However, the differences are not very significant, as shown in the ANOVA in Table 1.

### A. ANOVA on Physical and Strength Studies

The ANOVA in Table 1 shows both the physical and strength properties of the bio-composite PFOP. The ANOVA determined whether there exist or not. The methods used to check either the significant difference between physical properties (density and basic density) and strength properties (MOE for static bending strength and MOR for static bending including compression strength) with condition groups, portions and types of adhesive of the bio-composite PFOP. Significant differences existed between the physical properties (density and basic density) and the strength properties (static bending strength (MOE and MOR) as well as the compression strength (MOR)) within the groups' condition and portions factors. The significant differences were at P-value ≤ 0.01. The analyses indicated that groups condition and portions affecting and gives influenced to the results on physical and strength properties of the bio-composite PFOP. There is no significant difference, however, existed between physical (density and basic density) and strength (static bending strength (MOE and MOR) and compression strengths (MOR) on the types of adhesive factors. The uses of either adhesive do not affect the quality of the panels produced.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Variance</th>
<th>Dependent Variable</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Sum of Square</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F-Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dried Fronds</td>
<td>Density</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0108</td>
<td>0.0054</td>
<td>7.94**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Felled Fronds</td>
<td>Basic Density</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0180</td>
<td>0.0197</td>
<td>73.5**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Fronds</td>
<td>MOEb</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>155675.0000</td>
<td>77837.5000</td>
<td>57.05**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MORb</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>79.0218</td>
<td>39.5109</td>
<td>40.39**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MORc</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>255794.0000</td>
<td>127897.0000</td>
<td>63.81**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dried Fronds</td>
<td>Density</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0112</td>
<td>0.0056</td>
<td>8.26**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Felled Fronds</td>
<td>Basic Density</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0394</td>
<td>0.0090</td>
<td>28.75**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Fronds</td>
<td>MOEb</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>507856.0000</td>
<td>253928.0000</td>
<td>80.62**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MORb</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>157.7170</td>
<td>78.8586</td>
<td>140.95**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MORc</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>565023.0000</td>
<td>287897.0000</td>
<td>0.20ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dried Fronds</td>
<td>Density</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.20ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Felled Fronds</td>
<td>Basic Density</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>1.28ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Fronds</td>
<td>MOEb</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11232.8000</td>
<td>11232.8000</td>
<td>8.23ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MORb</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.2313</td>
<td>8.2313</td>
<td>8.41ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MORc</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7538.0100</td>
<td>7538.0100</td>
<td>3.76ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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Correlation Coefficient between Physical and Strength Bio Composite PFOP

Table 2 manifests the correlation between physical and strength properties of the bio-composite PFOP. A correlation existed between density and the basic density of bio-composite PFOP within the groups' condition and portions. Negative correlations observed between density and condition groups \((r = -0.3657)\) and portions \((r = -0.3748)\). The basic density of the bio-composite PFOP \((r = 0.4435, r = -0.6588)\) was negatively correlated with condition groups and portions.

From dried to green condition groups for each portion and towards the bottom, middle and top portions for every condition group, there were decreasing in density as well as basic density values. Table 1 highlighted the ANOVA statistical analysis. The correlation coefficient was noted between density and the basic density \((r = 0.5611)\). A positive correlation existed between them, and there was a significant difference at P-value \(\leq 0.01\). A positive correlation relationship exists between adhesive types with density value \((r = 0.0411)\), and negative correlation noted between the adhesive types and the basic density value \((r = -0.0668)\). This correlation relationship, however, was not significant between (see ANOVA in Table 1). Indicated that types of an adhesive factor were not affected the density as well as basic density value of the oil palm fronds bio-composite PFOP since the correlation coefficient was too small.

The correlation analysis between the strength properties (MOE for static bending strength and MOR for static bending, including compression strength) with other bio-composite PFOP properties are presented in Table 2. There was a correlation between condition groups factor with the strength properties values. The negative correlation obtained between groups condition with the MOE of the static bending strength \((r = -0.4321)\), the MOR of static bending strength \((r = -0.4927)\) and MOR for compression strength \((r = -0.5029)\). A similar trend on the correlation obtained between portions in the MOE of the static bending strength \((r = -0.7862)\), the MOR of static bending \((r = -0.6939)\) and finally MOR for compression \((r = 0.7481)\).

The negative correlation show between groups' condition and portions with strength (MOE and MOR for static bending strength and MOR for compression strength). The strength of bio-composite PFOP decreases towards the bottom, middle and top portions for each condition group as well as from Dried to green groups condition for the frond portions. The ANOVA in Table 1 shows the significant difference at P-value \(\leq 0.01\).

The strength properties of woody material have a close and significant correlation with density and the basic density \([39]\). The increases in density and basic density directly increase the strength properties of the materials, which including the static bending and compression—demonstrated in Table 2 of the correlation analysis. A positive correlation existed between the density, the basic density, the strength properties in the MOE and MOR in static bending and MOR in compression of bio-composite PFOP towards groups condition (dried to green groups condition) and the frond portions (bottom to top portions). The positive correlation obtained between the density and the MOE of static bending \((r = 0.3750)\), the MOR in static bending strength \((r = 0.4045)\) and the MOR in compression strength \((r = 0.5339)\). Correlation between the basic density with these three \(3)\) strengths resulted in the \(r = 0.7241\) and \(r = 0.6669\) in MOE, and the MOR in static bending strength and \(r = 0.7356\) in the MOR of the compression. All correlations occurred at significant differences of P-value \(\leq 0.01\) (see ANOVA in Table 2). The effect of adhesive types on the strength of the bio-composite PFOP produced a negative correlation between of them at \(r = -0.1196\) and \(r = 0.1592\) of the MOE and MOR in the static bending strength and the MOR of compression strength at \(r = -0.0867\). A similar trend occurred in the correlation relationship between physical properties (density and basic density) of oil palm fronds bio-composite panels with types of adhesive used. The correlation relationship produced no significant between them shown in the ANOVA of Table 2. The types of adhesive do not affect the strength properties of the bio-composite panels. The positive correlation observed between these three strengths, where the \(r = 0.7673\) and \(r = 0.7870\) between the MOE in the static bending strength and the MOR in static bending and compression strength. The \(r = 0.7889\) occurred between the MOR of static bending and the MOR of compression at P-value \(\leq 0.01\).
Characterization of Oil Palm Fronds Panel at Different Conditions and Positions with Formaldehyde Adhesive

Table 2: Correlation analysis between physical and strength properties of bio composite PFOP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Portion</th>
<th>Adhesive</th>
<th>Density</th>
<th>Basic Density</th>
<th>MOEb</th>
<th>MORb</th>
<th>MORc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>0.0000ns</td>
<td>0.0000ns</td>
<td>-0.3657**</td>
<td>-0.4435**</td>
<td>-0.4321**</td>
<td>-0.4927**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portion</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>0.0000ns</td>
<td>-0.3748**</td>
<td>-0.6588**</td>
<td>-0.7862**</td>
<td>-0.6939**</td>
<td>-0.7481**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adhesive</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>0.0411ns</td>
<td>-0.0668ns</td>
<td>-0.1196ns</td>
<td>-0.1592ns</td>
<td>-0.0867ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>0.5611**</td>
<td>0.3750**</td>
<td>0.4045**</td>
<td>0.5339**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic Density</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>0.7241**</td>
<td>0.6669**</td>
<td>0.7356**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOEb</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>0.7673**</td>
<td>0.7870**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MORb</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>0.7889**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MORc</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Acronym: **=significant at 99%, ns=not significant, MOEb=modulus of elasticity for static bending strength, MORb=modulus of rupture for static bending strength, MORc=modulus of rupture for compression strength

CONCLUSION

High in density and basic density bio composite PFOP produced from dried oil palm fronds, followed by felled and green fronds. Similar properties are obtained from the bottom, middle and top portions of the fronds. The phenol-formaldehyde bio composite PFOP possessed an overall higher in MOE, MOR for both the static bending and compression strengths. The phenol-formaldehyde bio-composite panels can reach the maximum value of MOE at 999.61 N/mm² made from the bottom portion of dried group condition. The urea-formaldehyde bio-composite panels from the top portion of the green condition group possessed lower value at 666.30 N/mm². Similar trends occurred the panels made from the dried, felled, and green groups condition for every fronds portion. The phenol-formaldehyde adhesive of the dried group condition from the bottom, middle and top portions were at 16.66, 12.55 and 11.72 N/mm² respectively in MOR. The MOR in compression strength of the panels decreases along the bottom to top portions for each group condition at every portion both of phenol and urea-formaldehyde bio composite PFOP.
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